Assessment of Early Growth Performance of Selected Tree Species for Amelioration of Chitradurga Copper Mining Area, Karnataka-India

Shihab Ismail¹, Mahadeva Murthy. M^{2*}, Mohan Raju.B³, Subbarayappa.C.T⁴ and Vikram, S.R.⁵

1,2,3,4,5UAS, GKVK, Bangalore Karnataka- India E-mail: *mmmurthy@rediffmail.com

Abstract—A Study was conducted at Forest nursery, GKVK, Bengaluru, Karnataka, to know the early growth performance of eight months old seedlings of six different tree species under copper mined soil under different soil amendments through pot culture. The results showed that, the early growth performance of different tree species in T3 (Copper mined soil + Farm yard manure) and T4 (Copper mined soil + Vermicompost) significantly differed from T1 control (Copper mined soil). Plants grown in Copper mined soil with amendments exhibited higher number of leaves, collar diameter and total biomass. Among the tree species studied, higher relative growth rate of collar diameter was observed in Ficus recemosa and Grewellia robusta. The rest of the species showed lower values. Further, results showed that improvement in physico- chemical properties of the copper mined soil, amended with farm yard manure (PH-8.3) and vermicompost (PH-8.1), higher organic matter content in T3 (1.78%) and in T4 (0.93 %). Higher available macro, micro nutrients and reduced copper contents were also noticed in amended soils compared to control T1 (copper mined soil only).

Keywords: *tree species, copper mined area soil, vermicompost, farm yard manure, organic matter and relative growth rate)*

1. INTRODUCTION

Land is the most important resources on which sustains the biological community on the earth surface. In recent times, the rate of land degradation is increased substantially to meet the diverse requirement of human kind.

The rate of consumption of mineral resources is continuously increasing with advancement of science and technology, economic development, industrial expansion, urbanization and mining activities. Mining not only disrupts the aesthetic of the landscape but also it disrupts the physical, chemical and biological properties of soil, especially bio-geo chemical cycles which are integral part of ecological process. Hence, mining cause the destruction of vegetation and soil profile [3]. The effects of mining could be multiple such as soil erosion, air and water pollution toxicity, geo-chemical disasters, loss of biodiversity and ultimately loss of economic wealth [7]. The mining dumps cause adverse impacts as elevated toxic metal accumulation which in turn enters the food chain, elevated salt content, low water holding capacity, increased compaction and relatively low organic matter content. Thus, reclamation of mined soil is slow and difficult process, which ,made reclamation of degraded and mined land as integral part of whole mining process[1]. With this view in mind, the present study was undertaken to know the performance of different tree species under copper mined soil through pot culture.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

An experiment was carried out in forest nursery, GKVK, Bengaluru, Karnataka, during the year 2012

The experiment was lied out in completely randomised block design with four treatments with each treatment consisting of six species replicated

seven times. There were two treatment factor copper mined area soil and copper mined area soilwith amendments and tree species. The copper mined area soil was brought from Ingaldal village of Chitradurga district Karnataka. The composite soil samples from 0-20 cm depth were collected randomly from copper mined area and for local soil aswell. 8kg capacity poly bags were used with drainage hole. The bags were filled with the pre determined proportion with amendments Viz., T_1 – copper mined soil only, T_2 - copper mined soil+ local soil(1:1), T_3 - copper mined soil + FYM (5:1), T4- copper mined soil + Vermi compost (5:1)).

Uniform 8 months old seedlings of six different tree species were planted in the poly bags and the initial growth measurements of the plants were taken after planting in the poly bags (Table 1). Further, these poly bagged seedlings were assessed for its growth performance for number of leaves, collar diameter and relative growth rate at monthly intervals for a period of three months and the survival percentage at the end of the third month.

The soil physico-chemical properties were analysed after the sixty days after planting by adopting standard procedure (pH, EC, OC% Available N, P, K and Mn, Ca, Mg). The uniform watering and weeding was done for all the treatments.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results indicated that, early growth performance of plant grown with amendments were significantly differs from the control. Higher number of leaves, collar diameter and total dry matter noticed in T_3 and T4 than the control T_1 . (Table 2, 3, 4). It is mainly attributed due to the amendments such as Farm yard manure and Vermi compost. These amendments increased the availability of nutrients, higher organic matter content which influenced the growth of the plants. Similarly improved physico-chemical properties of the soil and increased availability of essential nutrients in FYM amended soil were noticed by [2,4]. Thakur et. al., 1999 also reported improved yield attributing characters such as number of ears, ear length, grains per ear and test weight under FYM amended soil.

Vermi compost not only provides essential nutrients but also improves the soil physico-chemical properties. Sendurkumar et. al., 1998, conducted the study and observed the increased growth and productivity in tomato under soil amended with vermi compost.

Among the six different species, Ficus and Grevillea showed higher relative growth rate than the rest of the species (table 4). It is mainly attributed due to profuse root system of Ficus and fast growing nature of Grevillea. Hence, these species could be used for ameliorating the copper mined soil.

Further investigation indicated that, the soil amendments improved the physico-chemical properties of the copper mined soil. It was noticed higher organic matter content in the T₄ (1.73 %) and T3 (0.93 %) but amendments did not influence much in pH and EC, where as the availability of essential macro and micro nutrients increased significantly compare to the control. (Table 5). Similarly, improvement in physicchemical properties of amended soil was noticed by Rajashree et. al., 2005.

Table 1: Initial observations on different growth parameters of selected trees species

		Parameter								
Species	Number of leaves	Number Shoot length(c m)		Dry Weight (g/plant)	Root length					
Swietenia				GI						
mahagoni	8.67	29.67	6.00	13.70	14.9					

Azadirachta									
indica	6.67	39.17	5.67	8.24	18.6				
Artocarpus									
integrifolia	6.33	43.50	6.40	10.83	22.00				
Pongamia pinnata	4.33	34.67	5.00	13.38	33.30				
Ficus racemosa	12.67	26.33	7.03	20.10	25.80				
Grevillea robusta	20.67	27.33	5.00	15.62	19.70				
Mean	9.89	33.44	5.85	13.65	22.38				
F. value				-	-				
SEM±	0.49	1.2	0.23	-	-				
CD @ 5%	1.5	3.90	0.74	-	-				
* Significant at 5%									

Table 2: Relative growth rate (per month) for number of leaves under different treatments.

	Treatment							
Species	T1	T2	Т3	T4	Mean			
Swietenia mahagoni	0.0674	0.1079	0.1331	0.1256	0.1085			
Azadirachta indica	0.1991	0.1837	0.2106	0.2770	0.2176			
Artocarpus								
integrifolia	0.1343	0.1606	0.1869	0.1981	0.1700			
Pongamia pinnata	0.1676	0.1716	0.2033	0.1924	0.1837			
Ficus racemosa	0.1246	0.1354	0.1561	0.2101	0.1566			
Grevillea robusta	0.0840	0.1574	0.1797	0.2059	0.1568			
Mean	0.1313	0.1510	0.1783	0.2015	-			
	SP	Т	$SP \times T$	-	-			
F. value	*	*	* -		-			
SEM±	0.0096	0.0079	0.0193	-	-			
CD @ 5%	0.0191	0.0156	0.0381	-	-			
* : Significant at 5%					Г ₁ :			
Copper mining soil or	ıly							
S : Species]	Γ_2 :			
Copper mining soil +	local soil							
T : Treatment				1	Г _{3:}			
Copper mining soil +	farmyard	manure						
$SP \times T$: Species and t	reatment	combinati	on]	$\Gamma_{4:}$			
Copper mining soil + vermicompost								

Table 3: Relative growth rate (mm/mm/ month) for collar diameter under different treatments.

	Treatment								
T1	Т2	Т3	T4	Mean					
0.0384	0.0709	0.0746	0.0773	0.0653					
0.0414	0.0423	0.0786	0.0710	0.0583					
0.0386	0.0491	0.0623	0.0740	0.0560					
0.0597	0.0774	0.0921	0.0800	0.0773					
0.0629	0.0887	0.0987	0.1113	0.0904					
0.0670	0.0979	0.1116	0.0984	0.0937					
0.0513	0.0710	0.0863	0.0853	-					
SP	Т	$\text{SP} \times \text{T}$	-	-					
*	*	NS	-	-					
0.0045	0.0037	-	-	-					
0.0090	0.0073	-	-	-					
	T1 0.0384 0.0414 0.0386 0.0597 0.0629 0.0670 0.0513 SP * 0.0045 0.0090	T1 T2 0.0384 0.0709 0.0414 0.0423 0.0386 0.0491 0.0597 0.0774 0.0629 0.0887 0.0670 0.0979 0.0513 0.0710 SP T * * 0.0045 0.0037 0.0045 0.0037	Treatmen T1 T2 T3 0.0384 0.0709 0.0746 0.0414 0.0423 0.0786 0.0386 0.0491 0.0623 0.0597 0.0774 0.0921 0.0629 0.0887 0.0987 0.0670 0.0979 0.1116 0.0513 0.0710 0.0863 SP T SP × T * * NS 0.0045 0.0037 - 0.0090 0.0073 -	Treatment T1 T2 T3 T4 0.0384 0.0709 0.0746 0.0773 0.0414 0.0423 0.0786 0.0710 0.0386 0.0491 0.0623 0.0740 0.0597 0.0774 0.0921 0.0800 0.0629 0.0887 0.0987 0.1113 0.0670 0.0979 0.1116 0.0984 0.0513 0.0710 0.0863 0.0853 SP T SP × T - * NS - - 0.0045 0.0037 - -					

 T_1 :

NS: Not significant

* : Significant at 5%

S : Species	T ₂ :
Copper mining soil + local soil	
T : Treatment	T _{3:}
Copper mining soil + farmyard manure	
$SP \times T$: Species and treatment combination	$T_{4:}$
Copper mining soil + vermicompost	

 Table 4: Relative growth rate (g/g/ month) for total dry matter under different treatments

]	nt			
Species	T1	T2	T3	T4	Mean	
Swietenia mahagoni	0.0583	0.1413	0.1480	0.1559	0.1259	
Azadirachta indica	0.0891	0.1279	0.1989	0.1813	0.1493	
Artocarpus						
integrifolia	0.0397	0.1021	0.1194	0.1610	0.1056	
Pongamia pinnata	0.1144	0.1160	0.1550	0.1300	0.1289	
Ficus racemosa	0.0914	0.1200	0.1601	0.1781	0.1374	
Grevillea robusta	0.1031	0.1461	0.1866	0.1841	0.1550	
Mean	0.0827	0.1256	0.1613	0.1651	-	
	SP	Т	$\text{SP} \times \text{T}$	-	-	
F. value	*	*	*	-	-	
SEM±	0.0036	0.0029	0.0072	-	-	
CD @ 5%	0.0071	0.0058	0.0141	-	-	
* : Significant at 5%				Г	1:	
Copper mining soil or	nly					
S : Species				Т	2	
Copper mining soil +	local soil					
T : Treatment				Т	3:	
Copper mining soil +	farmyard	manure				
$SP \times T$: Species and t	reatment of	combinati	ion	Т	4:	
Copper mining soil +	vermicom	post				

Table 5:	Physico-chemical properties of	
copper	mining soil after amendments	

						Av.		Available			
Sl					Av.	P20	Av.	micronutrients			nts
					Ν	5	k20		(pj	om)	
Ν	Treat		Е.	O.C	Kg/a	Kg/	Kg/	Zin		Сор	
0.	ment	pН	С.	%	с	ac	ac	с	Iron	per	Mn
			0.0	0.32	234.		192	7.32	13.4	29.2	46.4
1	T1	7.5	9	L	00 L	4 L	Н	S	S	S	S
			0.0	0.45	301.		160	4.12	15.0	8.8	41.0
2	T2	8.3	9	L	5 M	16 L	Н	S	S	S	S
			0.1	1.78	520.	172	200	9.98	27.1	24.1	47.7
3	T3	8.3	2	Η	20 M	Η	Н	S	S	S	S
				0.93	430.	60	192	8.41	23.1	24.7	47.1
4	T 4	8.1	0.1	н	3 M	н	н	S	S	S	S

T1=Copper Mining Area Soil (CMAS)

T2= Copper Mining Area Soil + Local Soil (CMAS 1: 1LS).100%.

T3= Copper Mining Area Soil + Farmyard Manure (CMAS 5: 1 FYM).

T4= Copper Mining Area Soil + Vermicompost (CMAS 5:1 VC).

L=Low, M= Medium, H=High, S=Sufficient

REFERENCES

 GHOSE, M.K., 2005, Soil conservation for rehabilitation and revegetation of mine-degraded land. TIDEE – TERI Information Digest on Energy and Environment, 4(2):137-150.

- [2] GHUMAN, B.S. AND SUR, H.S., 2006, Effect of manuring on soil properties and yield of rain fed wheat. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 54(1): 6-11.
- [3] KUNDU, N.K. AND GHOSE, M.K., 1997, Soil profile characteristic in Rajmahal Coal field area. India J. Soil and Water Conservation, 25(1): 28-32.
- [4] POUL, A.S., MORE, S.D., LOHOT, V.D. AND BODKE, R.G., 2004, Effect of organic and inorganic nutrient sources on growth, yield and nutrient uptake in tomato. J. Soils and Crops, 14(1): 40-45.
- [5] RAJSHREE, M., WANDILE, MAYA, M., RAUL, SWATI, V., WASHIMAKAR AND BHARTI, S.B., 2005, Residual effect of long-term application of N, P, Zn and FYM on soil properties of Vertisols, yield, protein and oil content of soybean. J. Soils and Crops, 15(1): 155-159
- [6] SENDURKUMARAN, S., NATARAJAN, S. AND THAMBURAJ, S., 1998, Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of tomato. South Indian Horticulture, 46(3&4): 203-205.
- [7] SHEORAN, A.S., SHEORAN, V., AND POONIA, P., 2008, Rehabilitation of mine degraded land by metallophytes. Mining Eng. J., 10 (3): 11-16.
- [8] THAKUR, S. S., PANDEY, I. B. AND MISHRA, S. S., 1999, Effect of organic manure, fertilizer level and seed rate on yield and quality of late sown wheat (Triticum aestivum). Indian J. Agronomy, 44(4): 754-759.